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Bisphenol-A (“BPA”), the endocrine-disrupting chemical used to create polycarbonate plastics, such as baby bottles, microwavable plastics, and children’s toys, may interfere with human brain development.\(^1\) BPA is consumed when it leaches from plastic containers into foods or drinks as they are heated or when they become broken or cracked.\(^2\) BPA has been linked to a numerous adverse effects, including an increase in breast and prostate cancer cell growth,\(^3\) obesity when exposed early in life, gestational diabetes in women,\(^4\) damage to human brain development, diminished sperm production,\(^5\) early puberty,\(^6\) and insulin-resistance, which leads to diabetes.\(^7\)

An ongoing concern in the scientific community is the effect of prenatal and childhood exposure to BPA and other endocrine-disrupting chemicals (“EDCs”). The relation of these chemicals to “abnormalities in human sexuality, gender development and behaviors, reproductive capabilities, and sex ratios”\(^8\) is a major distress among scientists.\(^9\) Although high-level exposure to EDCs clearly has gender-related effects on human development, today’s debate centers around low-dose exposures, “generally defined as doses that approximate environmentally relevant levels.”\(^9\) BPA studies have demonstrated that exposure at quantities lower than the EPA’s reference dose has detrimental effects on fetal development.\(^10\) Additionally, the possibility that harmful effects from exposure to EDCs can be passed down through generations is extremely alarming.\(^11\)

The current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) standard for tolerable levels of BPA exposure was set in 1982 and is known as the “reference dose.”\(^12\) This dose, 50 mg/kg/day, reflects the level of BPA that the EPA has determined is safe for human exposure. However, studies indicate that lower exposure doses of BPA have profound impacts on human development that can last throughout adulthood.\(^13\)

Despite the fact that BPA’s presence is highly widespread – it was detected in the blood and urine of 95 percent of people tested in the United States – the EPA’s standard remains unchanged.\(^14\) The restriction of this chemical should be the EPA’s top priority.

As part of the agency’s Endocrine Disruptors Research program, the EPA Office of Research and Development will examine the effects of EDC exposure.\(^15\) In response to a 2000 peer reviewed report, which found that “low-dose effects had been sufficiently documented at that point in time for the EPA to consider revisiting its current testing paradigm on the issue of low-dose adverse effects,” the EPA “is currently funding three research grants in the area of low-dose EDC exposures.”\(^16\)

On a grassroots level, there are various ways to limit our exposure to BPA. For instance, some stores have decided to stop selling the popular water bottle, Nalgene.\(^17\) Nalgene bottles are composed of lexan polycarbonate resin.\(^18\) In 1998, Dr. Patricia Hunt of Case Western Reserve University stumbled upon an unexplainable result of aneuploidy\(^19\) in one of her experiments.\(^20\) It was traced to a harsh detergent that was used to clean the polycarbonate mice cages and water bottles.\(^21\) The detergent caused the plastic to leach BPA, and this accident was duplicated in another study.\(^22\) Even though another study conducted in 2003 confirmed Dr. Hunt’s results, the polycarbonate industry has harshly criticized Dr. Hunt’s findings.\(^23\)

Additionally, California’s Assembly recently proposed Bill 319, which would have banned some products that contained BPA and phthalates\(^24\) intended for use by children.\(^25\) Assembly Bill 319 “sought to limit some transitional phthalates that have been shown to be especially deleterious to normal development, especially sexual development,”\(^26\) but was unfortunately defeated on January 19, 2006.\(^27\) However, it provides a useful model for other states looking to take action on BPA exposure.

Becoming informed consumers allows individuals to limit the exposure to themselves and their families, and to inform others in their communities of the risks of using plastics containing BPA. Additionally, the EPA should re-evaluate its risk assessment process, and more state legislatures should try to ban BPA. In short, the public must take the responsibility for making wise decisions when purchasing merchandise composed of plastic.
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