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EDIBLE COMMUNITIES: INSTITUTIONALIZING THE LAWN-TO-GARDEN MOVEMENT TO PROMOTE FOOD INDEPENDENCE FOR LOW-INCOME FAMILIES

by Chelsea Tu*

The concept of building local food systems for low-income communities has gained impressive momentum as part of the U.S. sustainability movement. Local food systems help reduce environmental impacts from production to plate, increase availability and access to cheaper fresh fruits and vegetables in underserved communities, lower rates of obesity and diet-related diseases, and eliminate food deserts. Notable existing local food initiatives serving low-income individuals include building grocery stores and community gardens in food deserts, and promoting the use of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits to purchase fresh produce as well as seeds and food-bearing plants. The local food movement arose in response to overarching political support for large-scale commercial agriculture at the federal and state levels, which still dominates the national food system. Beyond this, particular challenges for institutionalizing innovative food initiatives for low-income residents include a lack of sustained funding, zoning restrictions, insufficient training and institutional support, as well as locating and converting productive land in urban and suburban areas. Thus, despite the positive impact of local food systems, 14.9% of U.S. households were still food insecure in 2011. Establishing lawn-to-garden programs for low-income individuals can solve land availability and conversion issues while achieving all of the health and environmental benefits local food initiatives bring.

The lawn-to-garden concept is not novel. During World War II more than twenty million “victory gardens” were planted on residential lawns and community plots across the country, yielding an estimated nine to ten million tons of fruits and vegetables. However, these gardens disappeared when improved and cheaper technologies led to a shift in federal food policy that encouraged large-scale commercial farming. The lawn reverted back to its decorative role, and the lawn-to-garden concept was all but abandoned until 2009 when Michelle Obama converted the White House South Lawn to a 1,110-square-foot vegetable garden. The case for converting lawns to gardens is simple: edible gardens will help alleviate the energy and health crises. Lawn-to-garden initiatives make use of productive agricultural space in residential yards and reduce input of fossil fuels and toxic products to maintain green carpets. This makes sense in low-income communities where many residents may not have sufficient income or time to maintain manicured lawns. The lawn-to-garden model also reduces reliance on processed foods that travel thousands of miles to consumers while increasing access to locally grown fresh foods. In effect, edible communities will better connect people, food, and the environment.

Lawn-to-garden initiatives could be customized according to the size of available land, the number of participants, and the type of operation that participants desire. Similar to community garden projects and school farms, low-income single-unit homes and multi-unit affordable housing complexes could convert available lawn space to gardens where participating residents could grow what they wish or delegate gardening responsibilities in order to operate as a cooperative. Low-income individuals could also farm on someone else’s yard. Low-income individuals could become “agri-preneurs” and sell their produce directly to neighbors, farmer’s markets, and other outlets.

The creation and institutionalization of lawns-to-gardens must overcome legal, pecuniary, institutional support, and cultural hurdles. Most urban and suburban municipal zoning laws limit commercial agricultural areas to certain parts of town. Residential zones typically do not allow for commercial gardens. However, some municipalities, such as Seattle, have adjusted their zoning laws to promote growing and selling fresh produce in residential areas. Another promising method for institutionalizing edible communities is incorporating them into municipal sustainability plans. Like any farm, a successful lawn-to-garden may require sustained funding to retain full-time staff and to purchase seed, fertilizer, and equipment. This is especially relevant in the low-income context as economically disadvantaged individuals are unlikely to have sufficient time and money to maintain lawn-converted gardens. However, an increasing number of private investors, local programs, and federal programs provide local food project funding targeting underserved communities. There is also no paucity of knowledgeable gardeners themselves, as evidenced by AmeriCorps’ recent launch of the Food Corps program where over one thousand applicants competed for fifty openings in 2011. The number of agri-preneurs is also rising, notably in marginalized populations of Latinos and veterans. Once participants convert lawns to gardens and establish local marketing outlets, this community of gardens has the potential to generate both food and income, allowing underserved communities to be both food-secure and food-independent.

Perhaps the biggest challenge to institutionalizing lawn-to-garden initiatives is Americans’ longstanding belief that lawns promote the attractiveness and marketability of their property. Additionally, low-income residents may perceive gardening as a luxury and not a means of sustenance. These “perception gaps” can be overcome with grassroots support from programs like Food Corps, as well as education and media campaigns modeled on the success of the local foods revolution. The objective should be to educate the public about the functional beauty of gardens and the potential avenues for entrepreneurship they create.

In addition to increasing the number of backyard gardens that many Americans have, we should look to expand gardens to front yard and courtyard gardens. Providing low-income communities easy access to fresh produce by converting lawns to gardens will connect urbanites and suburbanites to their food, improve environmental and human health, and increase community pride. Lawn-to-gardens will give us the opportunity to show off the fruits of our labor, enjoy them ourselves, give them to our neighbors, and even sell them for profit. Lawn-to-garden initiatives can be a part of the local food system revolution that seeks to create food independent, healthy communities for millions of Americans.

*Chelsea Tu is a J.D. candidate, May 2013, at American University Washington College of Law.
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