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Repealing the Use of Secret Evidence

By Gobind Singh Sethi*

In 1996, Congress enacted the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA), which authorized the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) to use secret evidence against aliens in various immigration proceedings. H.R. 2121, the Secret Evidence Repeal Act (SER 1999), was introduced in 1999 and reintroduced to Congress in 2000 (SER 2000). SERA 2000, if enacted, would help restore to aliens some of the constitutionally mandated procedural safeguards guaranteed to U.S. citizens that IIRIRA removed. In particular, SERA 2000 prohibits the use of secret evidence in removal and asylum proceedings, as well as bond and immigration benefit hearings, with the exception of cases where aliens are removable for terrorist activities.

Presently, the INS uses secret evidence by presenting the evidence before a judge, without the alien or her attorney present. In order for the INS to use secret evidence, two criteria must be satisfied: a government agency, such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation, must deem the evidence classified; and the INS must find the evidence to be relevant to the case.

Many critics have challenged the constitutionality of secret evidence, arguing it is contrary to Fifth Amendment procedural due process guarantees. In secret evidence cases, the accused is unable to adequately refute the secret evidence used against her because of restrictions denying access or knowledge of the evidence. IIRIRA also prohibits the accused from confronting and cross-examining the witness that informed the authorities of the relevant evidence. Thus, there is no way for the accused to question the credibility of the witness. Moreover, the use of secret evidence diminishes the prospects of a successful appeal because the accused cannot challenge rulings on evidentiary grounds. Due to the gross procedural abuses resulting from secret evidence, all federal courts, including the Supreme Court, have consistently banned its use.

Recent cases involving the use of secret evidence have questioned its constitutionality, and have signaled to lawmakers the need to repeal its use. In Kiareldeen v. Reno, the INS detained Hany Kiareldeen, a Palestinian, for 19 months pending his removal based on secret evidence. The INS arrested Kiareldeen on March 27, 1998. The only formal charge the INS raised against Kiareldeen was that he failed to maintain his student visa status and was thus deportable. Based on secret evidence, however, the immigration judge initially handling his case denied his bond request on the grounds that Kiareldeen posed a threat to national security.

Kiareldeen appealed the immigration judge decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) and filed a habeas corpus petition with a federal district court in New Jersey. Both courts permitted Kiareldeen to receive summaries of the secret evidence used by the INS in the initial bond hearing. According to the BIA, Kiareldeen’s rebuttal clearly demonstrated he was not a threat to national security, and therefore ordered his release. In fact, the evidence was discovered to be uncorroborated hearsay alleged by his ex-wife. The district court concluded the use of secret evidence violated Kiareldeen’s due process rights and ordered his release on that basis. Both judicial bodies criticized the INS for its inappropriate use of secret evidence to detain Kiareldeen for 19 months.
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SERA 2000 would restore due process rights to a fair hearing for most aliens in immigration proceedings concerning secret evidence. Only known terrorists would be deprived from their liberty based on the use of secret evidence. Aliens would then be able to adequately defend themselves in court, while still allowing the government to keep classified information secret.

On October 17, 2000, SERA 2000 was placed on the Union Calendar in the House of Representatives.

*Gobind Singh Sethi is a J.D. candidate at the Washington College of Law and an articles editor for the Human Rights Brief.
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