From a young age, Washington College of Law (WCL) alumnus Angela Edman has desired to help those who are disadvantaged and subjected to human rights violations. Hearing stories from her grandfather about genocide and atrocities committed during World War II and volunteering in the community influenced her to work on human rights issues. Edman is currently a staff attorney at the Hong Kong Refugee Advice Centre (HKRAC).

Edman attended Bard College, earning her bachelor’s degree in Political Studies with a focus in Human Rights, and participating in a human rights study abroad program in South Africa. Although she knew that becoming a lawyer would provide her the best means to fight for human rights, she first obtained some practical experience working at human rights organizations. While working at Human Rights Watch, Edman provided analysis of the Prosecution’s case of the trial of Slobodan Milošević and was able to attend the trial in The Hague. She then worked at another human rights organization in New York advocating for the Responsibility to Protect and the International Criminal Court.

Edman’s experience during law school evidences her passion for international law and human rights. She spent part of her first summer on WCL’s first international criminal law study abroad program in The Hague. For the remainder of the summer, she interned at the Balkan Investigative Reporting Network in Sarajevo, providing legal analysis of trials at the domestic War Crimes Chamber and analysis on prosecution of sexual and gender-based crimes and sentencing policies. During her second-year summer, Edman clerked at Our Place, DC, providing legal services to presently and formerly incarcerated women. She received a WCL J.D. Distinguished Fellowship to continue her work at the organization following graduation.

Edman’s time at WCL was especially characterized by her involvement in the Human Rights Brief, covering the International Criminal Court before serving as Co-Editor-in-Chief, and working as a student attorney in the International Human Rights Law (IHRL) clinic. She was also a volunteer and Dean’s Fellow for the War Crimes Research Office. Edman will never forget winning her first IHRL clinic case, a difficult gender-based asylum claim, and the look on her client’s face when the Immigration Judge said, “... and that is why I am granting asylum.” Despite the time lag from the interpretation, Edman explained that the client “must have seen my expression, and a huge smile came over her face and she grabbed my hand before the interpreter was even finished speaking. It was amazing.”

Although she came to law school to pursue international criminal law and human rights, Edman was particularly drawn to refugee law as a result of her involvement in asylum cases with the IHRL clinic. After completing her fellowship with Our Place, DC, Edman accepted a job at HKRAC, where she provides full representation and legal advice to clients in Hong Kong seeking refugee status. Hong Kong is not a party to the United Nations Refugee Convention and does not conduct its own refugee status determination, but the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) adjudicates claims in the place of the Hong Kong government. HKRAC trains and supervises volunteer caseworkers to work on many cases, while staff attorneys handle the more complex cases.

Edman’s work has often focused on gender issues. She works closely with victims of torture, victims of sexual and gender-based violence, clients with medical or severe emotional problems, illiterate clients, unaccompanied minors, single mothers with children, etc. The clients come from many countries, particularly Pakistan, Afghanistan, Sri Lanka, Somalia, the DRC, Eritrea, and in the past year, from Arab Spring countries such as Egypt and Yemen. She works closely with interpreters, social workers, and therapists to provide appropriate services to the clients, who are often traumatized from prior persecution. She also trains and supervises volunteer attorneys, caseworkers, interns, and law students, and guest-teaches refugee law classes at the Refugee Law Clinic that HKRAC runs at two universities in Hong Kong.

Edman explains that the most rewarding part of her job is seeing her clients feel empowered as they begin to understand an often confusing legal process and exercise agency within it. At the same time, she finds it challenging to feel out of control as clients await the UNHCR’s decisions. The UNHCR has a low acceptance rate relative to other jurisdictions, and their procedural standards differ from those with which Edman was familiar in the U.S. A strong societal bias exists against asylum seekers in Hong Kong, which does not allow refugees to permanently resettle, temporarily work, or even volunteer, there. Clients often wait years for the UNHCR’s status determination decision and resettlement process. The most difficult part of Edman’s job is hearing her clients’ sentiments that they are suffering and unable to contribute to society. Nevertheless, Edman feels privileged to witness the refugees’ fighting spirit and sense of hope, which continue to inspire her.

In light of the many challenges and frustration that accompany human rights work, Edman often reminds herself of the advice that WCL Professor Rick Wilson gave her: do not ever lose the fire, passion, and emotional connection that you have to the work. Control it of course, but do not lose it or let anyone else snuff it out because that’s what makes you a good lawyer. Edman encourages students who aspire to a career in human rights to find joy in the small moments, such as a client expressing happiness at the fact that you listen to him when no one else does, because the small things matter and give clients hope to keep fighting for themselves. “There’s no big secret to it, aside from just don’t give up.”

Lindsay Roberts, a J.D. candidate at the American University Washington College of Law and Co-Editor in Chief of the Human Rights Brief, wrote this alumni profile.
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